



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/712,225	11/15/2000	Kazuo Takagi	088941/0174	8670
22428 75	590 10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			PIZARRO, RICARDO M	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	T NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2662	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/712,22	25	TAKAGI, KAZUO				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ricardo P	izarro	2661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIORS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evo- nunication. atutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUN ent, however, may a fill expire SIX (6) MO lication to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 July 2005						
	,	2b)⊡ This action is n	on-final					
3)		•		tters prosecution as to the	e merits is			
ا ر	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	,	•					
	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) 11-23 is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
′=	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election r	equirement.		•			
Applicat	ion Papers							
_		o Eveminer			•			
· ·	The specification is objected to by the		□ objected to	hy the Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	by the Examiner. It	ne the attach	Su Omice Action of Tomic	10 102.			
	-			0.440(.) (1) (0				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
					1.00			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	, ,		n received in this National	Stage			
* (application from the Internation	•		t rappiyad				
•	See the attached detailed Office action	in for a list of the cert	ned copies no	it received.				
•								
Attachmen			∧ .□	S				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔀 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or rr No(s)/Mail Date			e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/712,225 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

FINAL REJECTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In claim 1, line 5 and claim 2 line 5 "the frame circuit" is non statutory since it is merely a non functional descriptive material. Amendment to claim has not overcome the previous 101 rejection, since the claims still directed to a frame device and not to a network.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. "A frame circuit" comprising the fields listed in the claims is not described in the original specification
 - 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 line 5 and claim 2 line 5 recite the limitation "the frame circuit". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 11-23 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 7/19/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that claims 1-10 have been amended to make it clear that the recited subject matted is" a network "which is clearly statutory subject matter, and as suchcthe basis for rejection under section 101 has been removed.

Examiner disagrees and in his opinion amendment does not overcome previous 101 rejection, since claims 1-10 still directed to a frame device, which is non statutory as above indicated, therefore rejections stands.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

.(for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE", for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 22- 20th Street S, Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03, Arlington, VA 22202 (Customer window).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Ricardo Pizarro** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3077.** The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Hassan Kizou** can be reached on (571) 272-3088.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 30, 2005

Ricardo Pizarro

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600